

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/505,783	02/17/20	000	Tadao Inoue	122.1393	6995
21171	7590 0	02/26/2003		•	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP 700 11TH STREET, NW SUITE 500				EXAMINER	
				FAHMY, SHERIF R	
WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2633	3.
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/505,783	INOUE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sherif R. Fahmy	2633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 F	ebruary 2003 .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) $\underline{1-19}$ is/are pending in the application							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
<u></u>	•						
<ul><li>14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li><li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li></ul>							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office Ac	etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 3					

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

1. Applicants' election of species 1 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 2-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected species.

2. Applicants are advised that it is applicants' responsibility to indicate how claims read on any elected species. The office has only indicated the different species that seem to be present in the disclosure.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Inoue et al. Inoue et al teaches a light output control circuit (fig. 1A and fig. 1B) comprising:

A photodetector (PD in fig.) which detects the light output of a light-emitting device;

Adjustment based on a light output detection value.

A light output control device which controls the light output of said light-emitting device in a discrete manner in accordance with the result of said comparison output from said comparator (1:CPU); and

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

A switching circuit (part of CPU) which counts the number of control actions performed by said light output control device, and which instructs said light output control device to perform control in accordance with a power-up mode until the number of control actions after starting the control reaches a predetermined value, and to perform control in accordance with a steady-state mode after the number of control actions has reached said predetermined value (fig. 2, col. 12- line 57 to col. 13- line 60).

Though Inoue et al does not specifically teach a comparator which compares a light output detection value with a reference value, Inoue teaches adjusting the light output based on the light output detection value (abstract). This necessarily requires comparison with a reference value. Otherwise, the light output detection value would provide no information whatsoever for adjusting the light output. This function is incorporated in 1:CPU (see A/D input from the PD). Using a separate comparator and performing this function outside the CPU would have been a design choice and would not have affected the fundamental concept of Inoue's disclosure. Accordingly, at the time the present invention was made, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use a comparator which compares a light output detection value with a reference value.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Link et al and Takauji et al are cited for disclosing a light output device with different granularities. Shimada et al is cited for disclosing a light output device with a power-up mode for the laser.

Application/Control Number: 09/505,783

Art Unit: 2633

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherif R. Fahmy whose telephone number is 703-305-8088. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-6:00PM(Mo-Th) 8:30AM-5:00PM(2nd & 4th Fr).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3988 for regular communications and 703-305-3988 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4800.

SRF February 24, 2003

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600